Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/722,785	MORAWSKI, LINDA MARY
	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Suzanne E. McDowell	1732
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Suzanne E. McDowell.	(3)	
(2) <u>James Barr</u> .	(4) ·	
Date of Interview: 18 April 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:] Applicant's representative) No	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Final rejection of claim 1		
Claims discussed: Claim 1		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Attorney Barr agreed to the Examiner's amendment can		S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se directly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief second 	tion. The examiner will provide a writte parate record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	1	
Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representative Sig	's appropriate)
Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representative Sig	inature – ir appropriate)